

Artificial-Intelligence-Based Automatic Analysis of Urothelial Carcinomas – Our Experience

Sabina ZURAC^{a,b,c,*}, Bogdan CEACHI^{a,b,d}, Luciana NICHITA^{a,b,c}, Mirela CIOPLEA^{a,b}, Cristian MOGODICI^{a,b}, Cristiana POPP^{a,b}, Liana STICLARU^{a,b}, Mihai BUSCA^{a,b}, Alexandra CIOROIANU^{a,b,c}, Alexandra VILAIA^{a,b,c}, Julian GERALD DCRUZ^b, Petronel MUSTATEA^{b,c}, Carmen DUMITRU^a, Victor CAUNI^a, Oana STEFAN^{a,b}, Irina TUDOR^{a,b}, and Alexandra BASTIAN^{a,c}

^a Colentina University Hospital, Ștefan Cel Mare Str., no. 21, 020125 Bucharest, Romania.

^b Zaya Artificial Intelligence, Ștefan Cel Mare Str., no. 9A, 077190 Voluntari, Romania.

^c University of Medicine and Pharmacy Carol Davila, Dionisie Lupu Str., no. 37, 020021 Bucharest, Romania.

^d National University of Science and Technology Politehnica, Splaiul Independentei, no. 313, 060042 Bucharest, Romania.

E-mails: sabina_zurac@yahoo.com (S.Z.); ceachi.bogdan@gmail.com (B.C.); luciana.nichita@umfcd.ro (L.N.); mirelacioplea@yahoo.com (M.C.); cristian.mogodici@zaya.ai (C.M.); brigaela@yahoo.com (C.P.); liana_ro2004@yahoo.com (L.S.); thanatogenesis@gmail.com (M.B.); dragusin_alexandra88@yahoo.com (A.C.); alexandra.vilaia@gmail.com (A.V.); julian.dacruz@zaya.ai (J.GD.); petronel.mustatea@umfcd.ro (P.M.); carmendumitru2004@yahoo.com (C.D.); victorcauni@yahoo.com (V.C.); oana.stefan93@yahoo.com (O.S.); irinafrincu@yahoo.com (I.T.); alexandra.bastian@umfcd.ro (A.B.)

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed;

Abstract

Diagnosing urothelial carcinoma (UC) is usually a quite simple task but requires thoroughly examination of several slides; cases with more than 10 slides are not uncommon. Thus, an automated method for histopathological analysis is more than welcome. We selected from our archives 105 patients (100 UC and 5 cystitis); we examined the slides and selected and scanned one slide/case, obtaining whole slide images (WSIs). We performed a pixel-per-pixel semantic segmentation of 21 selected areas/WSI for several classes (high-/low-grade tumor, invasion, emboli, stroma, vessels, smooth muscle, etc.). We trained an InternImage model on this data set; we used dice coefficient (DCC) and intersection-over-union (IoU) as metrics for our model performance. UC patients were predominantly males (72%), average age 66.04years, 46% low-grade UC/ 54% high-grade UC, 42% noninvasive/ 58% invasive (28%pT1 and 30%pT2 or above). There were, on average, 3.93 paraffin blocks/case (1-17 paraffin blocks/case). The data set obtained after annotation was arbitrarily separated in training (57.18%), validation (21.37%) and test sets (21.44%). The results on test set are: high-grade tumor (0.66 DCC/0.49 IoU), low-grade tumors (0.82 DCC/0.70 IoU), stroma (0.84 DCC/0.73 IoU), vessels (0.75 DCC/0.60 IoU) and LVI (0.77 DCC/0.62 IoU). We evaluated each patch of the test set; apparently low DCC and IoU scores are consequences of human inability in precise drawing of the classes and/or impossibility of annotation of very small vessels. Our model identifies high-/low-grade tumor, invasion, emboli, and smooth muscle and highlights them on a heat map. The pathologist analyses highlighted areas, thus shortening the time required by microscopic analysis. The results of our model are encouraging; its use improves the diagnostic accuracy, reduces the time taken for analysis, and potentially leads to better patient outcomes.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Urothelial Carcinoma; Tumor Grade; Invasion.

Funding: This research was partially funded by a grant of Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS–UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P4-PCE-2021-0546 (PCE 109/2022).

